

Appl. No. 10/021,339
Amdt. Dated 11/22/2004
Reply to Office Action of July 22, 2004

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This is in response to the Office Action mailed July 22, 2004. In the Office Action, claims 1-24 were cited as being subject to a restriction requirement. Reexamination and reconsideration of this case is respectfully requested in view of the amendments made herein and the following remarks.

Election/Restrictions

In paragraph 1 of the Office Action, claims 1-5, & 22-24 were restricted to Group I, claims 6-14 were restricted to Group II and claims 15-21 were restricted to Group III. Applicant hereby elects to go forward with claims 1-5 & 22-24 in Group 1. Accordingly, Applicant has withdrawn claims 6-21 without prejudice in order to comply with the restriction requirement. A preliminary amendment to add additional claims will be submitted subsequent to this response and examination.

Conclusion

Applicant respectfully requests examination of the subject application.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: 11/22/2004

By


William W. Schaal

Reg. No. 39,018

Tel.: (714) 557-3800 (Pacific Coast)

12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.84)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

MAILING

☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service
as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450,
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date: 11/22/2004

FACSIMILE

☒ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and
Trademark Office.


Susan McFarlane

11/22/2004

Date